

	Please see Item 9, Neighbourhood Planning for further contributions from members of the public present.	
7.	<p>PLANNING MATTERS No planning applications.</p> <p>Update on Planning Decisions 15/08059/FUL: Proposed Garden Studio & Shed at Martins Nest, West Kington Permission refused.</p>	
8.	<p>Financial Matters</p> <p>a) Payment of £134.68 for October to Clerk. Proposed by Cllr Kerr, Seconded by Cllr Pearce. Vote in favour unanimous.</p> <p>b) The Clerk had circulated a spreadsheet with the agenda showing an updated estimate of costs for the current year and asked for suggestions as to what may need to be included in the budget for 2016-17 in order that the precept can be decided at December's meeting. Cllr Shanahan suggested that a cost of £1800 should be included as contingency should another election be called. Also that she may have a request regarding broadband for next month but still has some work to do on this.</p> <p>Cllr Pearce & Beare asked for a sum in the region of £2,500 be included in order to replace the parish noticeboards in Nettleton and West Kington (2 each) with good quality oak boards. Cllr Beare also requested £300 be put aside for highways related work.</p> <p>Cllr Flint suggested we may need to consider costs for further requests for donations to local causes, i.e. churchyards.</p> <p>Cllr Kerr and Clerk will prepare the 2016-17 budget and circulate and asked that Councillors come to the December meeting prepared.</p>	
9.	<p>Neighbourhood Planning</p> <p>a) Cllr Kerr invited the public attendees to offer comments before the discussion commenced.</p> <p>Matt Newman stated that he is concerned that a NP may create animosity within the parish and said that with 42% in favour and 40% not in favour of development outside the parish boundary he was not convinced there was a compelling case. He is also concerned about the costs and whether everyone understands what is involved in preparation of a NP.</p> <p>Jenny Minney asked that if the NP were to go ahead, how the steering group would be formed, who would be on it and how they would be appointed. Cllr Shanahan replied that a representative of Wiltshire Council would be appointed to assist in this process, but that it would mainly come down to volunteers who would ideally come from varied backgrounds within the community.</p> <p>Cllr Kerr then gave an overview of his paper 'Should a Neighbourhood Plan be prepared for Nettleton Parish' which covered the basic process required to set up a NP. He then invited each councillor to comment on the question "Should NPC commence a NP process by requesting WC to appoint a link officer and the formation of a Steering Group?"; responses as follows:</p> <p>Cllr Bush believes a NP is not suitable for the parish, that any planning</p>	

permission is unlikely to be passed outside the boundary and that not enough people would actually use the facilities they are requesting in the survey.

Cllr Flint is in agreement with Cllr Bush in that she believes a NP is not viable. She is also concerned that everything seems to centre around Burton and does not see how Nettleton and West Kington would benefit. Cllr Kerr commented that there could be a benefit through an increase in CIL levied on developments in Nettleton and West Kington.

Cllr Wright is also against a NP. He has spoken to various people in Burton and generally their views do not seem to agree with the survey findings. He is also concerned that it is causing divisions within the parish.

Cllr Caie The Working Group recommended that a NP would not be applicable to West Kington/Nettleton. Working Group thought this could be sensitive and reported back to NPC in January to this effect.

Cllr Caie also thought that Stage 1 of the NP process would address this issue. He drew the Council's attention to a small parish of 600 residents in Norfolk, Strumpshore' which has just completed their NP and which appears to address many of the issues arising here.

Cllr Beare agreed with the views of Cllrs Bush, Flint & Wright. He had spoken to one Burton resident whose view was that if people wish for all the facilities requested in the survey, they should not come to live in a village where they know none exist.

He stated that an NP would only benefit half the households in the parish as there are more houses in West Kington and Nettleton than Burton. Also in Oct 2014 the Working Group was asked to differentiate between the planning related aspirations that are capable of being delivered through the present planning framework and those which it might be possible to secure through a Neighbourhood Plan. This was not addressed

He felt it would not be applicable to proceed with a NP at the present time.

Cllr Kerr commented that the survey does provide the data for NPC to consider whether a NP is needed to deliver facilities that people want.

Cllr Caie then stated that the Working Group had made it clear they were not setting out to address this issue.

Cllr Pearce is concerned as he feels that what is coming through is a difference of understanding as to what a NP can/cannot achieve amongst both councillors and public and that there are other avenues that could be pursued that have not been discussed. He also stated that a NP could relate to part or the whole of a parish. If only part then CIL payments will only apply to that particular part of the parish. If the NP covers the whole parish then CIL payments would come from all qualifying developments across the parish.

He believes the exercise undertaken in Burton is a valuable pilot study but is concerned that NPC have not done enough to inform the whole community of the matters that can be addressed in a Neighbourhood Plan together with alternative options. He suggests that NPC should quickly hold an open meeting with the whole parish to give people an opportunity to ask questions and clarify their understanding. Thereafter the PC will be able to determine

	<p>if a NP or any alternative option is to be pursued.</p> <p>Cllr Shanahan is concerned about divisions as all three villages are one parish, but also believes it is important to take what small control we can over development in the community. She feels that the practicalities are that a sufficient amount of people (from diverse backgrounds) are required to volunteer and to see the plan through to its conclusion (which can take up to two years) and is not sure a parish of our size is capable of supplying that. She is also worried that individual parcels of land may end up being identified for development which would then cause trouble within the parish. She has reservations that a NP should be carried out now, but that is not to say it cannot be done in the future.</p> <p>Cllr Kerr asked what could actually be achieved through a NP if no additional development is proposed.. Cllr Pearce said that some communities have not proposed additional development, but have used the NP to give input on existing proposed development. AS an example, Cllr Kerr asked if a NP could require affordable houses for small developments than under current planning rules which applies only for developments of 5 or more houses. Cllr Pearce believes this would be possible.</p> <p>Cllr Caie stated that Burton has a number of sites that could lead to small developments and that the residents are either faced with engaging in this process or just waiting to see what happens.</p> <p>Cllr Kerr was keen to go with Cllr Pearce’s suggestion to hold an open meeting, publicised by a mail drop to all households to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a) Satisfy NPC that all communities are well informed; b) Hear what the communities have to say on the subject. <p>Cllr Shanahan suggested that as there will definitely be an additional Burton resident on NPC following Thursday’s election, that any decision should be postponed to December when their input can be included.</p> <p>b) Cllr Wright did not agree and felt a vote should be taken now. However it was agreed Cllr Wright and Cllr Pearce should forward their proposed motions to the Clerk to include on December’s agenda.</p> <p><u>Motion from Cllr Beare</u>: To consider circulating an additional questionnaire to Burton Residents. Withdrawn at request of Cllr Beare.</p>	<p>Action: Cllrs Pearce & Wright</p>
<p>10. a)</p>	<p>Highways & Flooding Update</p> <p>Highways: Cllr Beare gave a highways update as follows: New highway maintenance contract out to tender to commence 1.4.16</p> <p>Parish Steward scheme reintroduced. Once contractor appointed will know more of operation but will be more interaction between parishes</p> <p>Specific matters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The potholes in passing places on Fosse to be dry mix filled in November and towards Shoe. • Ticket issued for new weir kerbs opposite Brookside Cottage, The Street, Burton • Horsedown gulleys to be jetted this week hopefully. • Nettleton Road, Burton resurfacing long term but may be machine 	

	<p>patched.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • New ditch opposite Longleaze, Nettleton to be dug in December – WC to check services. • Emailed Danny Everett/ drainage officer at WC re SW drains Nettleton Road, Burton again. • WC to write to owner of Darryl Leaze re drain. • New gulleys at Edgecorner Lane/Tormarton rd, Burton. Written to Danny Everett • Awaiting new signs in all 3 villages. New WC system in place.....ongoing <p>All grit bins have now been repaired and moved to safer places.</p> <p>b) Flooding: Ref clearance of vegetation and silt from Burton Brook. Cllr Kerr has chased the Environment Agency regarding the letters they were due to send to the relevant landowners. These are due to be sent out within a day or two. Cllr Beare said that Wiltshire Council should be kept in the loop regarding this issue.</p> <p>Cllr Kerr reported that an update on the modelling of the Bybrook catchment by the EA was to be presented at the next Flood Working Group meeting on 18th November which he and Cllr Wright will attend.</p>	
<p>11.</p> <p>a)</p> <p>b)</p> <p>c)</p> <p>d)</p>	<p>Any Other Business</p> <p>Cllr Shanahan reported that broadband had now arrived in Nettleton and West Kington and had improved things for many people. However levels of speed within the area still vary greatly and she would like to see efforts towards improvements to continue.</p> <p>Cllr Shanahan also noted that fly tipping within the parish continues to be an issue.</p> <p>Cllr Pearce attended last week's AGM of WALC and informed the meeting that there were some grave concerns over WALC's financial situation and that NPC should be sure that WALC is continuing to operate before submitting their annual subscription in 2016.</p> <p>Cllr Beare requested that some thought be given to the size NPC would like for new noticeboards to be as this item will be on next month's agenda.</p>	<p>Action: All Cllrs.</p>
<p>16.</p>	<p>Date and time of next meeting – 10th December at 7:30 pm.</p>	

Meeting closed: 9.15pm